# A Comparison of Graph-Inference

CASCADE 2025

Stian Husum, Håvard Raddum and Martijn Stam

Side-Channel Attacks Against SKINNY

### Side-Channel Analysis



#### Side-Channel Anal



lysis		
n C	$\rightarrow C$	

#### Side-Channel Analysis





- Power Draw
- EM Radiation
- Temperature
- Sound
- Time
- Etc.

### Power Analysis



#### Power Analysis





#### Power Analysis









5<sup>-1</sup>[v] @p; 0.6























#### SKINNY: Last Rounds





#### Central Problem

 How do we combine scores when variables depend on multiple keybytes?





### Graph Inference

- Describe relations between variables as a graph
- Instantiate nodes with scores
- Run belief propagation on graph to consolidate scores
- Extract final scores









### (Large) Factor Graph Inference (LFGI, sasca)

- Bipartite graph with:
- - Variable nodes corresponding to variables in cipher
- - Factor nodes corresponding to operations in cipher





#### SKINNY Graph Construction









- CGI is:
- Acyclic and therefore inference is exact
- - Can be used with unprofied distinguishers
- FGI is:
- - Loopy and therefore inference is heuristic
- - Need an profiled distinguisher

## Results: Synthetic



#### Results: Real Traces

LUT implementation.



#### 204060 80 1000 Traces CGI - 44 S-Boxes --- LFGI - 44 S-Boxes (2+2) $\cdots$ LFGI - 64 S-Boxes (2+2) ---- LFGI - 96 S-Boxes (3+3)---- LFGI - 128 S-Boxes (4+4)

#### Circuit implementation.

### Summary

- LFGI can exploit leakage deeper into the cipher compared to CGI
- LFGI is however limited to profiled attacks
- Future work: Attacks against countermeasures(such as masking)

Thanks! Questions?

• Focused on only the inference step in the attack, a tailored attack could perform even better

